



Docket No.: 8733.519.00-US
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Se Chang WON, et al.

Customer No.: 30827

Application No.: 10/026,482

Confirmation No.: 7740

Filed: December 27, 2001

Art Unit: 2826

For: STRUCTURE FOR MOUNTING AN
INVERTER

Examiner: Fazli Erdem

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 3, 2004, wherein claims 1 and 2 are rejected, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration in view of the remarks presented herein below.

At the outset, Applicant notes with appreciation the indication that claims 8-12, 14-17 and 19-41 are allowed and that claims 3-6 contain allowable subject matter.

In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,587,166 to Lee et al. ("Lee") in view of Japanese Application No. JP 11086623 to Sasaki ("Sasaki"), further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,024,335 to Min ("Min"). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

In order to support a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103, the Examiner must establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness. To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be a motivation to combine the cited reference. Second,